

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,717	05/11/2001	Byoung-Sun Na	06192.0226.AA	5193	
MCGUIRE W	7590 01/12/2009 OODS LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1750 TYSONS BOULEVARD			HO, ANTHONY		
SUITE 1800 MCLEAN, VA	A 22102	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, , , ,			2815		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/12/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/852,717	NA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ANTHONY HO	2815		

	ANTHONY HO	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ess
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date.	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	. A selecte the data of files a balaf		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> </ol>			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L 50.011/,	
(c)   They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	e issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		cted claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	e because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I 3. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/ Jerome Jackson Ir /		

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1, particularly the additions of "a color filter disposed on the insulating substrate" and "color filter," raises new issues and will require further search or consideration because the limitation of claim 6, "further comprising a color filter disposed between the insulating substrate and the transparent electrode" is not recited in proposed claim 1. Furthermore, claims 3-5 depended upon claim 1 and not claim 6, which is now partially incorporated into proposed claim 1 and thus, raises new issues that will require further search or consideration.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Inoue et al (US Patent 7,136,140).